DESCARTES™

Do You Need Another Compliance Tool — or Just Better APIs?

Rethinking Trade Compliance for a Real-Time, Connected World

Author: Simran Sethi

Executive Summary

Global trade compliance is under pressure from multiple directions at once. Regulatory frameworks are expanding and changing at unprecedented speed, geopolitical volatility is reshaping trade routes and enforcement priorities, transaction volumes continue to grow, and organizations are expected to operate faster while maintaining full transparency and control.

In response, many companies have invested heavily in compliance software. Over time, this has often resulted in layered technology environments, where new tools are added to address specific regulations or risks, while existing enterprise resource planning (ERP), logistics, and customs systems remain largely unchanged.

Despite these investments, many compliance teams continue to face the same challenges. Decisions are delayed, workflows remain fragmented, outcomes vary across regions, and operational costs continue to rise. Compliance intelligence exists, but too often it operates outside the flow of day-to-day business.

This white paper examines a fundamental shift underway in trade compliance technology: the move from tool-centric compliance to application programming interface (API)-driven compliance architectures. Rather than treating compliance as a stand-alone activity, APIs embed regulatory intelligence directly into existing operational workflows where trade decisions are actually made.

This paper explains what APIs are, why they matter specifically in trade compliance, how organizations adopt them in practice, and how a modular API-driven portfolio, such as that offered by Descartes, supports scalable, governed, and future-ready compliance.

The Structural Problem with Traditional Trade Compliance Models

For decades, trade compliance has been implemented as a checkpoint. A transaction is created, then reviewed. A shipment is planned, then validated. Compliance is something that happens after business activity has already begun.

This approach reflected earlier operational realities. Trade volumes were lower, supply chains were less interconnected, and regulatory change was slower and more predictable. In today's environment, however, this model is increasingly misaligned with how global trade actually operates.

Modern trade transactions are dynamic. Orders change, partners shift, products are reclassified, and regulations evolve continuously. When compliance systems sit outside core transaction flows, they introduce friction rather than control. Manual handoffs between ERP systems, logistics platforms, and compliance tools increase the risk of delay and error. Regulatory content stored statically becomes outdated almost as soon as it is downloaded.

As a result, compliance decisions are frequently made too late, after commitments have been made, shipments have moved, or invoices have been issued—when correcting an issue becomes expensive and disruptive. The gap between compliance intent and operational execution continues to widen.

APIs Explained — Without the Abstraction

An API enables software systems to exchange data and invoke logic automatically using standardized rules. In practical terms, APIs allow systems to communicate directly with one another without human intervention.

In a trade compliance context, APIs enable enterprise platforms such as ERPs, transportation management systems, or ecommerce applications to request compliance decisions, receive validated results, and act on those results immediately. Screening, classification, duty calculation, validation, and filing decisions are executed programmatically, as part of the normal business process.

APIs are not user interfaces. They are integration contacts designed for reliability, consistency, and scale. They define how systems interact, what information is exchanged, and how decisions are returned.

In recent years, APIs have become the dominant integration mechanism because they support real-time decision-making, decouple systems from one another, enable modular architectures, and significantly reduce manual effort. In regulated environments like trade compliance, these characteristics are no longer optional, they are foundational.

APIs define how systems interact, what information is exchanged, and how decisions are returned.

Why Trade Compliance Is an API-Native Problem

Trade compliance depends on a unique combination of factors that make it particularly well suited to API-driven automation. Regulatory data changes frequently and originates outside the organization. Decision logic is highly contextual, varying by product, partner, destination, and timing. Transaction volumes are high, and the tolerance for error is extremely low.

Static or batch-driven approaches struggle under these conditions. A regulatory update that occurs between scheduled data refreshes can leave organizations exposed. Manual reviews introduce inconsistency and delay. Spreadsheet-based processes cannot scale reliably.

APIs allow regulatory intelligence to be consumed as a service, rather than maintained internally. Instead of attempting to manage constant regulatory change, organizations can invoke up-to-date intelligence at the moment a decision is required. As compliance requirements grow more dynamic and jurisdiction-specific, this shift becomes critical.

From Tools to Architecture: The API-Driven Compliance Model

Traditional compliance models treat regulatory intelligence as something that is accessed after transactions are created. Compliance functions as a gate—a step that must be passed before execution proceeds.

API-driven compliance changes this model. Regulatory intelligence is invoked during transaction creation, not after. As products are defined, partners selected, orders created, and shipments planned, compliance checks occur in real time.

In an API-enabled architecture, compliance becomes continuous rather than episodic. Screening, classification, duty calculation, validation, and filing are embedded throughout the transaction lifecycle. The result is earlier visibility into risk, fewer operational disruptions, and more consistent enforcement of compliance policies across regions and systems.

Categories of Trade Compliance APIs and Their Role

Effective trade compliance requires multiple types of decisions across the transaction lifecycle. As a result, modern compliance architectures rely on modular, purpose-built APIs, rather than a single monolithic interface.

Regulatory Content and Intelligence

Regulatory content APIs deliver continuously updated trade data directly into operational systems. This includes tariff schedules, antidumping and countervailing duties, product-level compliance requirements, and location-based risk indicators.

Descartes' global trade intelligence (GTI) Content and GTI Value Added Content APIs exemplify this approach, enabling organizations to base decisions on current, authoritative regulatory intelligence rather than static datasets. By shifting regulatory maintenance away from internal teams and toward specialized providers, organizations reduce systemic risk and improve decision accuracy.

Classification, Valuation, and Duty Calculation

Classification and valuation remain among the most audit-sensitive areas of trade compliance. APIs that support tariff plausibility validation, consistent classification logic, and real-time duty calculation help standardize decisions across regions and business units.

Descartes' tariff classification and duty calculation APIs reduce subjectivity, accelerate decision-making, and improve audit defensibility by ensuring that compliance outcomes are consistent regardless of geography or individual expertise.

Transaction and Shipment Lifecycle Integration

Compliance is most effective when applied as transactions are created or updated, not after execution. APIs that integrate compliance into shipment creation and transaction management more easily surface issues such as missing information, validation failures, or credit holds early in the process.

Descartes' shipment, event, missing information, and credit-related APIs enable organizations to identify and resolve compliance blockers when they are least disruptive, reducing downstream delays and rework.

Categories of Trade Compliance APIs and Their Role

Partner, Product, and Network Data

Accurate master data underpins effective compliance. APIs that maintain consistent partner, product, and network information across systems reduce false positives, improve screening accuracy, and ensure compliance decisions are made in the correct context.

Descartes' partner and network repository APIs support a single, trusted view of compliance-relevant data across the enterprise.

Filing, Documentation, and Output

Compliance obligations extend beyond decision-making. Regulatory filings, billing documentation, and audit packages must be generated accurately and consistently. APIs that automate these outputs support audit readiness while reducing manual effort.

Descartes' filing and document generation APIs enable organizations to move seamlessly from compliance decisions to compliant execution and reporting.

Telematics and Advanced Compliance

The inclusion of telematics-driven compliance APIs reflects the direction in which trade compliance is evolving. Location, movement, and real-time signals increasingly inform compliance risk assessment, particularly in complex, time-sensitive trade environments. These capabilities point toward a more dynamic, context-aware compliance model.

What API-Centric Compliance Solves That Tools Alone Do Not

Much of the discussion around APIs focuses on connectivity. This understates their strategic impact.

API-driven compliance addresses challenges that tools alone cannot solve. It reduces system redundancy and tool sprawl, enforces consistent rules across regions and business units, shortens the time between regulatory change and enforcement, and enables compliance capabilities to scale without a proportional increase in headcount.

Critically, API-centric architectures enable governed automation. Decisions are automated, but not opaque. They are traceable, consistent, and defensible—qualities increasingly emphasized by regulators and auditors.

Adoption Reality: How Organizations Actually Implement APIs

API adoption is not instantaneous, nor should it be. Most organizations adopt API-driven compliance incrementally.

Initial efforts typically focus on high-risk, high-value use cases such as screening or duty calculation. As confidence grows, APIs are integrated more deeply into operational workflows, including shipment creation and validation. Over time, organizations move toward end-to-end orchestration that spans filing, documentation, and audit readiness.

A modular API portfolio supports this phased adoption, allowing organizations to modernize responsibly while reducing transformation risk.

Governance, Security, and Operational Ownership

APIs introduce architectural flexibility, but only when governed effectively. Strong authentication, clear authorization models, disciplined change management, and comprehensive monitoring are essential.

Enterprise-grade trade compliance APIs support encryption in transit, role-based access controls, and full transaction traceability. When implemented correctly, these capabilities strengthen governance rather than weaken it.

Equally important is organizational ownership. Successful API programs clearly define responsibilities between IT and compliance teams, ensuring that technical integration and regulatory oversight remain aligned.

An API-centric approach to compliance embeds regulatory intelligence directly into existing operational workflows.

Build vs. Buy: An Underexamined Risk

Some organizations consider building their own compliance APIs. This approach often underestimates the ongoing effort required to maintain regulatory content, manage jurisdictional complexity, and support continuous validation and audit requirements.

APIs are not merely technical endpoints. They encode domain expertise. Established providers such as Descartes deliver not only integration infrastructure, but also the regulatory intelligence and operational experience required to sustain compliance at scale.

The Strategic Implication: Compliance as Infrastructure

Trade compliance is evolving from a discrete function into an infrastructure capability. API-driven architectures enable faster regulatory response, greater operational resilience, lower long-term compliance cost, and improved audit posture.

From an enterprise perspective, this evolution aligns closely with broader trends toward modular, service-based architectures and real-time decision-making.

Conclusion

Organizations do not struggle with trade compliance because they lack tools. They struggle because compliance intelligence remains disconnected from execution.

The question facing trade leaders today is not whether to add another compliance system, but whether their compliance architecture is designed for the realities of modern trade.

For many organizations, the answer lies not in more tools, but in **better APIs**—APIs that embed trusted regulatory intelligence directly into the flow of global commerce.

Analyst Takeaways

- APIs represent a structural shift in how trade compliance is delivered.
- Modular, governed APIs reduce systemic compliance risk.
- Regulatory intelligence quality matters as much as technical design.
- API-driven compliance aligns with broader enterprise architecture trends.

About Descartes

Descartes powers more responsive, efficient, secure and sustainable international and domestic supply chains by uniting logistics-intensive businesses on its Global Logistics Network (GLN). Shippers, carriers, and logistics service providers connect and collaborate on the GLN leveraging technology, data and AI to manage last mile deliveries, domestic and international shipments, transportation rating and payment, global trade research, customs compliance and a variety of regulatory processes.

Learn more about Descartes (Nasdaq:DSGX) (TSX:DSG) at **www.descartes.com** and connect with us on **LinkedIn** and **X**.

Uniting the People & Technology That Move the World.